

Call #:

Location: SMITH PER

Article Information

Journal Title: J Int Med Res

Volume: 17: Issue:

Month/Year: 1989.Pages: 320-323.

Article Author: Porro GB, Petrillo M, Ardizzone S.

Article Title: Salsalate in the Treatment of Rheumatoid

Arthritis: A Double-Blind Clinical and Gastroscopic Trial versus Piroxicam.

II-Endoscopic Evaluation.

Loan Information

Loan Title:

Interlibrary Loan Request Form

Loan Author:

Publisher:

Place:

Date:

Imprint:

Customer Information

Username: srinivsh

Shankar Srinivasan Rutgers Faculty - Other

Article Delivery Method: Mail to Address Loan Delivery Method: Hold for Pickup

Electronic Delivery? Yes

Salsalate in the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis: a Double-blind Clinical and Gastroscopic Trial versus Piroxicam. II – Endoscopic Evaluation

G. Bianchi Porro, M. Petrillo and S. Ardizzone Gastrointestinal Unit, L. Sacco Hospital, Milan, Italy

A double-blind, double-dummy controlled study to compare the clinical efficacy and gastric tolerability of salsalate and piroxicam in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis was performed. A total of 23 patients were treated with 1.5 g salsalate twice daily and 20 with 20 mg piroxicam (after the evening meal) for 4 weeks. Patients were submitted to gastroscopy at the start and end of treatment; only patients who presented a normal baseline gastroscopy were admitted to the trial. At the end of the planned treatment period, a statistically significant improvement of all clinical variables was observed in both treatment groups, the difference between the two drugs not being statistically significant. Five of 20 (25%) piroxicam treated patients and only 2/19 (11%) salsalate treated patients showed gastric lesions at final endoscopy. No relationship was found between dyspeptic symptoms and endoscopic lesions. The results show that salsalate and piroxicam have equal efficacy in relieving arthritic symptoms, but salsalate causes fewer gastric lesions.

KEY WORDS: Salsalate; piroxicam; rheumatoid arthritis; gastroscopy.

Received for publication 3 January 1989; accepted 9 January 1989.

Address for correspondence: Professor G. Bianchi Porro, Head of the Gastrointestinal Unit, L. Sacco Hospital, Via G.B. Grassi 74, 20157 Milan, Italy.

INTRODUCTION

The salicylates, particularly aspirin, are widely used in everyday clinical practice as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), especially in alleviating the symptoms of rheumatic diseases. Despite

roxicam.

ed study to compare the calsalate and piroxicam in performed. A total of 23 wice daily and 20 with 20 or 4 weeks. Patients were d end of treatment; only astroscopy were admitted ment period, a statistically ables was observed in both the two drugs not being iroxicam treated patients ints showed gastric lesions found between dyspeptic lts show that salsalate and arthritic symptoms, but

s; gastroscopy.

es, particularly aspirin, are d in everyday clinical pracdal anti-inflammatory drugs ecially in alleviating the eumatic diseases. Despite their therapeutic efficacy, however, one important drawback is that they produce frequent, and often severe side-effects on the upper digestive tract. Numerous studies have demonstrated that aspirin frequently induces ulcers and/or erosions and causes upper digestive tract haemorrhage.¹⁻³

Salsalate is an ester of two molecules of salicylic acid with a clinical efficacy comparable with that of aspirin, but appears to cause less damage to the digestive tract. Studies have been conducted in which intestinal microbleeding was determined by using 51Cr-labelled erythrocytes; 3000 – 5000 mg/day salsalate caused significantly less faecal blood loss than aspirin in various formulations (soluble, enteric coated and intravenous injectable). In this sense its effect is comparable to that of placebo. 4.5

To date, however, few data comparing salsalate with NSAIDs other than aspirin are available. The present endoscopic study was designed to assess the effects of chronic treatment with salsalate on gastric and duodenal mucosa in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in comparison with piroxicam.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

The 43 patients admitted to this trial, according to the criteria set out previously, 6 underwent initial upper digestive endoscopy in order to ensure that there were no existing gastric mucosal lesions. Patients were admitted to the trial, only with grades 0 and 1, using an endoscopic

rating scale (Table 1). Oral informed consent was obtained.

The following patients were considered ineligible: patients with a history of peptic ulcer, patients with digestive tract bleeding in the previous 6 months, patients receiving anti-ulcer therapy (H₂-antagonists, cytoprotectors) and patients with known hypersensitivity to salicylates.

Administration of therapy

Drug treatment was as described previously.6

Evaluation of therapy

At the end of the scheduled treatment period, endoscopy was repeated. Additional endoscopies were performed at any time during the trial in the event of painful dyspepsia lasting at least 48 h and refractory to antacid medication. Endoscopy was always performed by the same person in blind conditions, using an Olympus GIF Q10 direct vision endoscope. The mucosa was viewed during advancement of the instrument to avoid recording trauma induced by the procedure. The entire gastroduodenal mucosa was assigned a single grade on the rating scale.

Statistical analysis

Fisher's exact test was used to compare the prevalence of endoscopically detectable lesions in the two treatment groups. In addition, 95% confidence limits were calculated.

Table 1 Endoscopic rating scale for gastric mucosal lesions

Grade	Description
0	Normal mucosa or hyperaemia
1	1 - 3 petechiae, submucosal haemorrhage or erosions
2	≤10 petechiae, submucosal haemorrhage or erosions
3	>10 petechiae, submucosal haemorrhage or erosions
4	Active ulcer

RESULTS

As specified previously, 6 of the 43 patients admitted to the trial, only 39 were included in the final analysis, 19 in the salsalate treated group and 20 in the piroxicam treated group. Four patients in the salsalate treated group spontaneously stopped taking the drug, one because of lack of therapeutic benefit, two because of tinnitus and one because of gastralgia. The post-treatment endoscopy envisaged in the protocol could not be performed in the latter case, as the patient did not contact the Centre in time.

The endoscopic findings are shown in Table 2. Lesions were detected in 2/19 (11%) patients in the salsalate treated group (one grade 2 and one grade 3) and in 5/20 (25%) in the piroxicam treated group (all grade 4: four patients with duodenal ulcer, one with gastric ulcer). This difference was not significant (P>0.05, 95% confidence interval -0.14 to +0.42).

No correlation was found between the endoscopic results and dyspeptic symptoms. In the salsalate treated group, 7/19 (overall prevalence 37%) complained of gastralgia, four of these presenting grade 0 lesions at final endoscopy and one of each

of grades 1, 2 and 3. In the piroxicam treated group, painful dyspepsia was reported by 8/20 patients (overall prevalence 40%), two presenting grade 2 lesions, three grade 1 and three grade 4.

DISCUSSION

To date only one endoscopic study has been performed in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. This compared salsalate doses of $2500-4000 \,\mathrm{mg/day}$ with naproxen at doses of $750-1500 \,\mathrm{mg/day}$ for up to 3 months in 39 patients. At the end of treatment, $0/18 \,(0\%)$ patients given salsalate presented active lesions, while $8/21 \,(38\%)$ patients in the naproxen treated group had ulcers (seven patients) or diffuse erosions (one patient) (P<0.05).

The present findings show that chronic treatment with salsalate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis is associated with a lower prevalence of endoscopically detectable lesions than piroxicam treatment (11% versus 25%). This difference, however, is not statistically significant, probably only because of the relatively small number of patients included in the trial. It must be emphasized, however, that the lesions found

Table 2
Endoscopic assessment of gastric tolerability after 4 weeks of treatment with 3.0 g/day salsalate and 20 mg/day piroxicam in patients with rheumatoid arthritis

Endoscopic measure	w =	Salsalate (n=19)	 Piroxicam (n=20)	=
Normal mucosa				
Grade 0		15	12	
Grade 1		2	3	
Total		17/19 (89%) ^a	15/20 (75%)	
Pathological mucosa				
Grade 2		1	-	
Grade 3		1	-	
Grade 4			5	
Total		2/19 (11%)a	5/20 (25%)	

^aP>0.05 versus piroxicam treatment (Fischer's exact test).

and 3. In the piroxicam painful dyspepsia was repatients (overall prevalence enting grade 2 lesions, three ee grade 4.

endoscopic study has been attients with rheumatoid armpared salsalate doses of day with naproxen at doses 1g/day for up to 3 months in the end of treatment, 0/18 ven salsalate presented actile 8/21 (38%) patients in ated group had ulcers (seven fuse erosions (one patient)

findings show that chronic salsalate in patients with uritis is associated with a e of endoscopically detect-piroxicam treatment (11% his difference, however, is significant, probably only relatively small number of d in the trial. It must be every, that the lesions found

reatment with 3.0 g/day arthritis

iroxicam	
(n=20)	

12 3 5/20 (75%)

5 20 (25%) endoscopically in the patients given piroxicam were all grade 4 (active ulcer). In addition, salsalate caused a lower degree of gastric damage than did aspirin. As a matter of fact, in an earlier study by the present group⁸ in a series of 26 patients treated chronically with aspirin, ulcers and/or erosions were observed in 13 (50%) patients (versus 11% in the present trial with salsalate).

No real difference was observed in the prevalence of gastro-intestinal symptoms (gastralgia, heartburn) in the two treatment groups. There appeared to be no correlation between the presence of subjective symptoms and endoscopically detectable lesions. This suggests that investigating gastro-intestinal symptoms is of little value in assessing the tissue toxicity of any single NSAID and only of limited use in evaluating the comparative toxicity of several such drugs. It does confirm, however, the need for periodic endoscopic controls of the upper digestive tract in rheumatic patients under chronic NSAID treatment.

In conclusion, salsalate has the same clinical efficacy as piroxicam but appears to cause less gastric irritation. The high frequency of tinnitus observed at the dosage of salsalate employed in this study, however, suggest that further studies are needed with

larger groups and different drug dosages. This will help establish the efficacy and gastric safety profile of salsalate.

REFERENCES

- Levy M: Aspirin use in patients with major gastrointestinal bleeding and peptic ulcer disease. N Engl J Med 1974; 290: 1158 – 1162.
- Piper DW, McIntosh JH, Greig M, et al: Environmental factors and chronic gastric ulcer: a case control study of the association of smoking, alcohol and heavy analgesic ingestion with the exacerbation of chronic gastric ulcer. Scand J Gastroenterol 1981; 16 (suppl 67): 211 214.
- Coggon D, Langmann MJS, Spiegelhalter D: Aspirin, paracetamol and haematemesis and melaena. Gut 1982; 23: 340 344.
- Cohen A: Fecal blood loss and plasma salicylate study of salicylic acid and aspirin. *J Clin Pharma*col 1979; 19: 242 – 247.
- Mielandts H, Veys EM, Verbruggen G, et al: Comparison of serum salicylate (Disalcid) and other forms of salicylates. Scand J Rheumatol 1981; 10: 169 173.
- Montrone F, Caruso I, Cazzola M: Salsalate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: a double-blind clinical and gastroscopic trial versus piroxicam. I - clinical trial. J Int Med Res 1989; 17: 316 – 319.
- Roth SM, Mitchell CS, Bennett RE, et al: Gastrointestinal (GI) effects of salsalate vs naproxen in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Arthritis Rheum 1989; in press.
- Caruso I, Bianchi Porro G: Gastroscopic evaluation of anti-inflammatory agents. Br Med J 1980;
 280: 75 78.